

News

Emerging Markets Spotlight

No one doubts the exceptional success of the South Korean economy, home to world-leading companies. But for now, it's still an emerging market



James Syme



Paul Wimborne

Ada Chan



12. Dezember 2022

Bei dem folgenden Beitrag handelt es sich um Marketingmaterial und nicht um Anlageberatung oder eine Empfehlung zum Kauf oder Verkauf von Fonds oder anderen Finanzinstrumenten.

All asset classes are to some degree arbitrary, making divisions at the margin between two similar investments. One of the most enduringly controversial is the definition of emerging equity markets, excluding both frontier markets and developed markets. At the more developed end of the emerging market asset class are countries that could be classified as developed markets.

The original definition of emerging markets, by the IFC arm of the World Bank, was based on economic development, as measured by GNP/capita, but the definition used by the largest index provider, MSCI, is based around economic development, market size and liquidity, and market accessibility criteria. As per the MSCI methodology, four wealthy Gulf economies are emerging markets, as are the highly successful economies of South Korea and Taiwan.

South Korea is home to some world-leading technology companies. It is one of the few countries in the world to develop its own supersonic jet-fighter and it is a stable and reasonably mature democracy. The question is often asked, 'Is South Korea really an emerging market?' Well, events in the Korean domestic bond market since the end of September show why it probably still is.

The full events are too long to go into here, but in summary, a newly-elected provincial governor decided, for political rather than economic reasons, to push a local development company (that had developed Legoland Korea in the region) into bankruptcy, and to renege on the provincial government's guarantee of the development company's bonds.

The effect on domestic bonds was rapid and serious. New issuance by local government development and housing companies proved impossible through October, with some major borrowers with investment grade credit ratings unable to place bonds. The specific bonds that the development and housing companies issue are called project finance asset-backed commercial paper, or PF-ABCP. These are also the main funding source for the nation's private sector property developers, which also saw their bond yields spike and began scaling back financing for new projects. And, in what very much looks like contagion, a mid-size life insurer delayed exercising a call option on some of its perpetual bonds, which is the first time this has happened since the financial crisis in 2009.

Despite the specific cause, this has come at a time of rising global interest rates and bond yields, a stronger US dollar, and a slowing Korean economy. The conditions were in place for financial stress, but the combination of volatile politics and weak institutions acted as a trigger. The overall effect has dramatically affected the whole Korean commercial paper market. Three-month Korean commercial paper typically has yields 0.25-0.5% higher than policy interest rates, but the gap at the end of November had reached 2.3% and still looked to be increasing.

So, despite generally tightening monetary policy through higher interest rates this year, Korean authorities have had to engineer a repurchase program to stabilise the market. At the time of writing, this was KRW 2.8trn (USD 2.1bn), but its size and scope have steadily increased, and more may well be required.

Ultimately, the creditworthiness of these instruments has not changed: this is a shock to confidence, a market panic in the style of the nineteenth century. The Bank of Korea and the Ministry of Finance are responding in the right way, and they have the monetary firepower to settle the market at some point. However, there must be some drag on Korean GDP growth and corporate earnings from this.

And this is why South Korea remains an emerging market. The long-term excess returns of emerging market equities over developed market equities are to compensate investors for the extra risk and volatility of emerging markets. That risk can come in many forms, but it definitely includes a single provincial politician pushing the local Legoland into default and blowing up the entire domestic commercial paper market.

James Symes, Paul Wimborne and Ada Chan manage the JOHCM Global Emerging Markets Opportunities Fund. Find out more [here](#)

Disclaimer

The information provided on this webpage is for professional advisers only. This is a marketing communication. Information on the rights of investors can be found [here](#). The registrations of the funds described in this communication may be terminated by JOHCM at its discretion from time to time. The investment promoted concerns the acquisition of shares in a fund and not the underlying assets. Past performance is no guarantee of future performance. The value of an investment and the income from it can fall as well as rise as a result of market and currency fluctuations and you may not get back the amount originally invested. Investing in companies in emerging markets involves higher risk than investing in established economies or securities markets. Emerging Markets may have less stable legal and political systems, which could affect the safe-keeping or value of assets. Investments may include shares in small-cap companies and these tend to be traded less frequently and in lower volumes than larger companies making them potentially less liquid and more volatile. The information communicated here including any expression of opinion is for information purposes only and is given on the understanding that it is not a recommendation.

Related Articles

Emerging Market Spotlight

Rejection of a left-wing constitution reduces risk for equity investors in Chile, but economic problems remain an obstacle

[Read More](#)

07. September 2022

EM Spotlight

Energy exporters, or those with relative energy stability, show signs of prospering

[Read More](#)

10. August 2022

Legal

This website is issued and approved in the UK by J O Hambro Capital Management Limited, which is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. Registered office: Level 3, 1 St James's Market, London SW1Y 4AH. Registered in England and Wales under No: 2176004. Issued in the European Union by JOHCM Funds (Ireland) Limited which is authorised by the Central Bank of Ireland. Registered office: Riverside One, Sir John Rogerson's Quay, Dublin 2, Ireland. J O Hambro is a registered trademark owned by and used under licence from Barnham Broom Holdings Limited. JOHCM® is owned by J O Hambro Capital Management Limited. Telephone calls may be recorded.

© 2022 J O Hambro Capital Management Limited. All rights reserved.